1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney		
2 3	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052 Assistant United States Attorney	2)	
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7102 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR No. 07-0055 JSW	
15	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
16	V.) EXCLUDING TIME)	
17	MARIO ALBERTO INCHAURREGUI-)	
18	VELASQUEZ,)	
19	Defendant.)	
20			
21	On February 22, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that		
22	time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from February 22, 2007 to		
23	March 15, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel, in that defense counsel has recently received		
24	discovery from the Government. The parties represented that granting the continuance was		
25	necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due		
26	diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
27			
28	SO STIPULATED:		

1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS		
2	Interim United States Attorney		
3	DATED: February 23, 2007/s/		
4	DENISE MARIE BARTON Assistant United States Attorney		
5			
6	DATED: February 23, 2007/s/		
7	STEVEN J. KOENINGER Attorney for MARIO ALBERTO		
8	INCHAURREGUI-VELASQUEZ		
9			
10			
11	As the Court found on February 22, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds		
12	that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act		
13			
14	calculations from February 22, 2007 to March 15, 2007 for effective preparation of defense counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would		
15 16			
17	deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(iv).		
18			
19	SO ORDERED.		
20	SO ORDERED.		
21	DATED: February 26, 2007		
22	Jeffre S. White United States District Court Judge		
23	only a space of the countrings		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			